UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/913,695	08/02/2002	Niels Rump	SCHO0113	3855
22862 GLENN PATEI	7590 06/13/200 NT GROUP	EXAMINER		
3475 EDISON WAY, SUITE L			HENNING, MATTHEW T	
MENLO PARK, CA 94025			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2131	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/13/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Interview Summary	09/913,695	RUMP ET AL.				
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	MATTHEW T. HENNING	2131				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):						
(1) <u>MATTHEW T. HENNING</u> .	(3)					
(2) <u>Jeffery Brill</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: <u>10 June 2008</u> .						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]						
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:						
Claim(s) discussed: <u>None</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>None</u> .						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) № N/A.						
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The examiner telephoned the applicant's representative, in order to explain the notice of non-compliant amendment that was mailed on 6/9/2007. The examiner indicated that because the amendment submitted on 6/18/2007 was not entered, the amendment to the claims should be with respect to the claims submitted on 10/26/2006, as they are the most current claims in this application. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO						
FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
_	/Matthew T Henning/ Art Unit 2131					
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an	Examiner's signature, if requi	red				